Enumeration of unlabelled chordal graphs with bounded tree-width

Jordi Castellví (CRM)

Work in collaboration with Michael Drmota and Clément Requilé



VII Congreso de Jóvenes Investigadores de la RSME - Bilbo

How to build a tree?

How to build a tree?

How to build a tree?

How to build a tree?



How to build a tree?



How to build a tree?



How to build a tree?



How to build a tree?



How to build a tree?



How to build a tree?



















Iteratively add a new vertex connected to the vertices of an existing edge.



2-trees

















Iteratively add a new vertex connected to the vertices of an existing triangle.



Definition. A *k*-tree is a graph obtained from a (k + 1)-clique by successively adding a new vertex connected to all vertices of an existing *k*-clique.

Iteratively add a new vertex connected to the vertices of an existing clique (complete subgraph).

•














































Iteratively add a new vertex connected to the vertices of an existing clique (complete subgraph).



Chordal graphs

Iteratively add a new vertex connected to the vertices of an existing clique (complete subgraph).



Chordal graphs

Definition. A graph is **chordal** if it has no induced cycle of lengh greater than 3.

Iteratively add a new vertex connected to the vertices of an existing clique of size at most t.

Iteratively add a new vertex connected to the vertices of an existing clique of size at most t.



Iteratively add a new vertex connected to the vertices of an existing clique of size at most t.



Chordal graphs with tree-width at most \boldsymbol{t}

Iteratively add a new vertex connected to the vertices of an existing clique of size at most t.



Chordal graphs with tree-width at most *t*

Definition. The tree-width of a graph G is the minimum k such that G is the subgraph of a k-tree. 5/17

Labelled vs unlabelled

A graph with n vertices is labelled if each vertex carries a different label in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

Labelled vs unlabelled

A graph with n vertices is labelled if each vertex carries a different label in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

In an **unlabelled** graph, the vertices are undistinguishable.

Labelled vs unlabelled

A graph with n vertices is labelled if each vertex carries a different label in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

In an unlabelled graph, the vertices are undistinguishable.



6/17

Our goal is to determine the number of graphs in the family with size n.

Our goal is to determine the number of graphs in the family with size n.

Definition. A combinatorial class is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, |\cdot|)$ where

- \mathcal{A} is a family of combinatorial objects,
- $|\cdot|:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{N}$ is a size function,
- The number of objects with size n is $a_n < \infty$.

Our goal is to determine the number of graphs in the family with size n.

Definition. A combinatorial class is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, |\cdot|)$ where

- \mathcal{A} is a family of combinatorial objects,
- $|\cdot|:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{N}$ is a size function,
- The number of objects with size n is $a_n < \infty$.

Definition. The ordinary generating function (OGF) of $(\mathcal{A}, |\cdot|)$ is the formal power series

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n x^n.$$

Suitable for unlabelled classes.

Definition. The **exponential generating function** (EGF) of $(\mathcal{A}, |\cdot|)$ is the formal power series

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{a_n}{n!} x^n.$$

Suitable for labelled classes.

Our goal is to determine the number of graphs in the family with size n.

Definition. A combinatorial class is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, |\cdot|)$ where

- \mathcal{A} is a family of combinatorial objects,
- $|\cdot|:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{N}$ is a size function,
- The number of objects with size n is $a_n < \infty$.

Definition. The ordinary generating function (OGF) of $(\mathcal{A}, |\cdot|)$ is the formal power series

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n x^n.$$

Suitable for unlabelled classes.

Definition. The **exponential generating function** (EGF) of $(\mathcal{A}, |\cdot|)$ is the formal power series

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{a_n}{n!} x^n.$$

Suitable for labelled classes.

Operations between classes translate into relations involving their generating functions. The goal is to obtain (a system of) equations that determine the GF of our class. 7/

Let ${\mathcal T}$ be the class of labelled trees.

Let ${\mathcal T}$ be the class of labelled trees.

$$T(x) = \frac{1}{1!}x + \frac{1}{2!}x^2 + \frac{3}{3!}x^3 + \frac{16}{4!}x^4 \cdots$$

Let ${\mathcal T}$ be the class of labelled trees.

1

$$T(x) = \frac{1}{1!}x + \frac{1}{2!}x^2 + \frac{3}{3!}x^3 + \frac{16}{4!}x^4 \cdots$$

Let ${\mathcal T}$ be the class of labelled trees.

$$T(x) = \frac{1}{1!}x + \frac{1}{2!}x^2 + \frac{3}{3!}x^3 + \frac{16}{4!}x^4 \cdots$$



Let ${\mathcal T}$ be the class of labelled trees.



Let ${\mathcal T}$ be the class of labelled trees.



Rooting. Let \mathcal{T}^{\bullet} be the class of rooted labelled trees. Since all vertices are distinguishable, there are n ways to root a tree with n vertices. Thus,

$$T^{\bullet}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} n \frac{t_n}{n!} x^n = x T'(x).$$

Let \mathcal{T} be the class of labelled trees.



Rooting. Let \mathcal{T}^{\bullet} be the class of rooted labelled trees. Since all vertices are distinguishable, there are n ways to root a tree with n vertices. Thus,

$$T^{\bullet}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} n \frac{t_n}{n!} x^n = x T'(x).$$

Unrooting. To do the inverse operation, we can simply integrate:

$$T(x) = \int T^{\bullet}(x)/x \, dx.$$
8/17






Labelled trees



Labelled trees



Implicit equation:

$$T^{\bullet}(x) = x \exp(T^{\bullet}(x)) = x + x^2 + \frac{3x^3}{2} + \cdots$$
 (2)

Labelled trees



Implicit equation:

$$T^{\bullet}(x) = x \exp(T^{\bullet}(x)) = x + x^2 + \frac{3x^3}{2} + \cdots$$
 (2)

By using the Lagrange inversion formula we obtain:

$$|\mathcal{T}_n^{\bullet}| = n! [x^n] T^{\bullet}(x) = n^{n-1} \implies |\mathcal{T}_n| = |\mathcal{T}_n^{\bullet}|/n = n^{n-2}.$$
 9/17









 $(1)(2)(3) \longrightarrow s_1^3$

 $(1)(23) \longrightarrow s_1 s_2$









Theorem [Pólya 1937] The OGF of the unlabelled class $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is given by

$$\tilde{G}(x) = Z_{\mathcal{G}}(x, x^2, x^3, \dots).$$

10/17



Theorem [Pólya 1937] The OGF of the unlabelled class $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is given by

$$\tilde{G}(x) = Z_{\mathcal{G}}(x, x^2, x^3, \dots).$$

In our case, 3 (3

$$G(x) = \frac{3}{3!}(x^3 + x \cdot x^2) = x^3$$
10/17

Pólya trees: rooted, unlabelled trees.

Pólya trees: rooted, unlabelled trees.

Theorem. [Pólya, 1937] The OGF P(x) of Pólya trees is given by

$$P(x) = x \exp(P(x) + \frac{P(x^2)}{2} + \frac{P(x^3)}{3} + \dots).$$

As $n \to \infty$ we have

$$[x^n]P(x) \sim \frac{b\sqrt{\rho}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot n^{-3/2} \cdot \rho^{-n},$$

with $b \approx 2.681127$ and $\rho \approx 0.338219$.

Pólya trees: rooted, unlabelled trees.

Theorem. [Pólya, 1937] The OGF P(x) of Pólya trees is given by

$$P(x) = x \exp(P(x) + \frac{P(x^2)}{2} + \frac{P(x^3)}{3} + \dots).$$

As $n \to \infty$ we have

$$[x^n]P(x) \sim \frac{b\sqrt{\rho}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot n^{-3/2} \cdot \rho^{-n},$$

with $b \approx 2.681127$ and $\rho \approx 0.338219$.

What about unrooted unlabelled trees?

Problem!

Problem!

Rooting is biased in unlabelled graphs. Not every unlabelled graph of size n gives rise to n rooted graphs.



Problem!

Rooting is biased in unlabelled graphs. Not every unlabelled graph of size n gives rise to n rooted graphs.



Theorem. [Otter, 1948] The OGF U(x) of unlabelled trees is given by

$$U(x) = P(x) + \frac{1}{2}(P(x^2) - P(x)^2).$$

As $n \to \infty$ we have

$$[x^{n}]P(x) \sim \frac{b^{3}\rho^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot n^{-3/2} \cdot \rho^{-n},$$

with $b \approx 2.681127$ and $\rho \approx 0.338219$. **Proof.** Using the dissymmetry theorem.



Definition. A cycle-pointed graph is a pair (G, c) where $G \in \mathcal{G}$ is an unlabelled graph and c is a cycle of some automorphism of G.



Definition. A cycle-pointed graph is a pair (G, c) where $G \in \mathcal{G}$ is an unlabelled graph and c is a cycle of some automorphism of G.

Theorem. [Bodirsky, Fusy, Kang & Vigerske (2007)]

Every unlabelled graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ of size n admits exactly n cycle-pointings.



Definition. A cycle-pointed graph is a pair (G, c) where $G \in \mathcal{G}$ is an unlabelled graph and c is a cycle of some automorphism of G.

Theorem. [Bodirsky, Fusy, Kang & Vigerske (2007)] Every unlabelled graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ of size n admits exactly n cycle-pointings. **An unbiased rooting (pointing) operator!**



Definition. A cycle-pointed graph is a pair (G, c) where $G \in \mathcal{G}$ is an unlabelled graph and c is a cycle of some automorphism of G.

Theorem. [Bodirsky, Fusy, Kang & Vigerske (2007)] Every unlabelled graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ of size n admits exactly n cycle-pointings.

An unbiased rooting (pointing) operator!

They extend Pólya theory to cycle-pointed graphs. In particular, they manage to unroot Pólya trees via cycle-pointing and they recover Otter's formula.

Our class of graphs



Chordal graphs with tree-width at most *t*

Our class of graphs



Chordal graphs with tree-width at most t

[Wormald, 1985]: they admit a decomposition into k-connected components.

Our class of graphs

Chordal graphs with tree-width at most t

[Wormald, 1985]: they admit a decomposition into k-connected components.

[C., Drmota, Noy & Requilé, 2023]: assymptotic enumeration of the labelled class.

$$|\mathcal{G}_{t,n}| \sim c_t \cdot n^{-5/2} \cdot \gamma_t^n \cdot n!$$
 as $n \to \infty$,

for some $c_t > 0$ and $\gamma_t > 1$

We need to take into account cycles of cliques, not just vertices.

We need to take into account cycles of cliques, not just vertices.

We need to take into account cycles of cliques, not just vertices.

The **edges** are in a cycle of length 2.

We need to take into account cycles of cliques, not just vertices.

The **edges** are in a cycle of length 2.

The new edge is in a cycle of length 1 but different type: it flips itself.

We need to take into account cycles of cliques, not just vertices.

The **edges** are in a cycle of length 2.

The new edge is in a cycle of length 1 but different type: it flips itself.

What we do:

- Refinement of cycle index sums to encode cycles of cliques.
- Extend cycle-pointing to cycles of cliques.

• [Harary & Palmer (1968)], [Fowler, Gessel, Labelle & Leroux (2002)]: asymptotic enumeration of unlabelled (rooted and unrooted) **2-trees**

- [Harary & Palmer (1968)], [Fowler, Gessel, Labelle & Leroux (2002)]: asymptotic enumeration of unlabelled (rooted and unrooted) **2-trees**
- [Gainer-Dewar (2012)], [Gainer-Dewar & Gessel (2014)]: system of equations to compute the OGF of unlabelled *k*-trees with *n* vertices.

- [Harary & Palmer (1968)], [Fowler, Gessel, Labelle & Leroux (2002)]: asymptotic enumeration of unlabelled (rooted and unrooted) **2-trees**
- [Gainer-Dewar (2012)], [Gainer-Dewar & Gessel (2014)]: system of equations to compute the OGF of unlabelled *k*-trees with *n* vertices.
- [Drmota & Yu Jin (2014)]: asymptotic enumeration of unlabelled *k*-trees.

- [Harary & Palmer (1968)], [Fowler, Gessel, Labelle & Leroux (2002)]: asymptotic enumeration of unlabelled (rooted and unrooted) **2-trees**
- [Gainer-Dewar (2012)], [Gainer-Dewar & Gessel (2014)]: system of equations to compute the OGF of unlabelled *k*-trees with *n* vertices.
- [Drmota & Yu Jin (2014)]: asymptotic enumeration of unlabelled *k*-trees.
- This talk: generalisation of previous results.
 - [C. & Requilé (2024+)]: system of equations to compute the OGF of unlabelled chordal graphs with tree-width ≤ t.

- [Harary & Palmer (1968)], [Fowler, Gessel, Labelle & Leroux (2002)]: asymptotic enumeration of unlabelled (rooted and unrooted) **2-trees**
- [Gainer-Dewar (2012)], [Gainer-Dewar & Gessel (2014)]: system of equations to compute the OGF of unlabelled *k*-trees with *n* vertices.
- [Drmota & Yu Jin (2014)]: asymptotic enumeration of unlabelled *k*-trees.
- This talk: generalisation of previous results.
 - [C. & Requilé (2024+)]: system of equations to compute the OGF of unlabelled chordal graphs with tree-width ≤ t.

Future:

• [C.,Drmota & Requilé (soon?)]: asymptotic enumeration of unlabelled chordal graphs with bounded tree-width.

The system

$$\begin{cases} X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k+1}^{(k)}}^{\lambda} = \frac{k!}{\alpha(\lambda)\kappa(\lambda)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{\lambda,1}} X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k+1}}, \\ X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}^{(k)}}^{\lambda} = Z_{\text{SET}}(s_{j} \to (X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k+1}^{(k)} \circ_{k} \mathcal{G}_{t,k}^{(k)}})^{[j]})_{j \ge 1}, \\ X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k+1}^{(k)} \circ_{k} \mathcal{G}_{t,k}^{(k)}} = X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k+1}^{(k)}}^{\lambda} (s_{\mu,j} \to (X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}}^{\mu})^{[j]})_{\mu \vdash k,j \ge 1}, \\ X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k+1}^{\bullet,k} \circ_{k} \mathcal{G}_{t,k}^{(k)}} = \sum_{\mu \vdash k} \frac{\alpha(\mu)\kappa(\mu)}{k!} t_{\mu,1} X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}^{(k)}}^{\mu} + X_{(\mathcal{G}_{t,k})}^{\bullet,k}, \\ X_{(\mathcal{G}_{t,k})_{\ge 2}}^{\bullet,k} = X_{(\mathcal{G}_{t,k+1})_{\ge 2}}^{\bullet,k} (s_{\mu,j} \to (X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}}^{\mu})^{[j]}, t_{\mu,j} \to (X_{(\mathcal{G}_{t,k})}^{\mu,i} \bullet_{k})^{[j]})_{\mu \vdash k,j \ge 1} \\ + \sum_{\mu \vdash k} \frac{\alpha(\mu)\kappa(\mu)}{k!} s_{\mu,1} Z_{\text{SET}}_{\ge 2}^{\bullet} (s_{j} \to (X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k+1}}^{\mu,j} \circ_{k} \mathcal{G}_{t,k}^{(k)})^{[j]}, \\ t_{j} \to (X_{(\mathcal{G}_{t,k+1}^{\mu,j} \circ_{k} \mathcal{G}_{t,k}^{(k)}) \bullet_{k}})^{[j]})_{j \ge 1}, \\ X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \sum_{1 \le j \le \binom{t+1}{k}} \int \frac{1}{jt_{\lambda,j}}} X_{\mathcal{G}_{t,k}}^{\bullet,k} (S(\lambda,j) \to 0, T(\lambda,j) \to 0) ds_{\lambda,j} \end{cases}$$